UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,678	11/12/2003	Gene Michal	50623.352	9070
Cameron K. Ke	7590 05/12/200 errigan	EXAMINER		
	& Dempsey L.L.P.	STEWART, ALVIN J		
1 Maritime Plaza			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Francisco, CA 94111			3774	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/712,678	MICHAL, GENE		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Alvin J. Stewart	3774		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING THE M	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02/15</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final.			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 44-54 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 44-54 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See iion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/712,678 Page 2

Art Unit: 3774

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 02/19/2008 have been fully considered.

After a careful examination of the Applicant's remarks regarding the 112 first paragraph

rejection, the Examiner withdraw the rejection. However, the Examiner still believes that the

102 rejection is still proper. For example, the Applicant's representative discloses that the esters

of a carboxylic acid and metal salts of a carboxylic acid are totally different chemical entities

from the carboxylic acid material that the Applicant is claiming.

The Examiner disagrees with the Applicant's representative point of view because the

Applicant is only claiming a carboxylic acid and is not positively claiming a specific chemical

entity as mentioned by the Applicant's representative. Therefore, no-matter the Wang II

reference show carboxylic acids with totally different chemical entities, they are still carboxylic

acids and the Examiner is just giving the broadest interpretation of the claims. Therefore, the

Examiner believes that the carboxylic acids of the Wang II reference still read on the carboxylic

acid of this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 44-46 and 53-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Wang et al US Patent 5,631,328.

Wang et al discloses a method of making a coat having the step of adding a copolymer of comonomer ethylene with a carboxylic acid comonomer to a solvent system to form a composition (see col. 2, lines 52-60); applying the composition to an implantable medical device (see col. 17, lines 38-42) and allowing the solvent system to evaporate (see col. 8, lines 62-65). The meaning of the word comonomer is the following: one of the compounds that constitute a copolymer. Therefore, the Examiner believes that the reference still reads on the claims because the two compounds mentioned in the claim are part of a copolymer.

Regarding claim 45, see col. 3, lines 43 and 54.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 47, 48 and 50-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al US Patent 5,631,328 in view of Chabrecek et al US Patent 6,087,412.

Wang et al discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Wang et al does not disclose a solvent system made of toluene.

Chabrecek et al discloses a solvent made of toluene for the purpose of having an inert solvent (see col. 9, lines 65-67).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the solvent of the Wang et al reference with the toluene solvent of the Chabrecek et al reference in order to have an inert solvent.

Regarding claims 50-52, disclose the claimed invention except for having a carboxylic acid comonomer between 5 to 50 percent by weight. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the percentage by weight of the copolymer, since it has been held that finding an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F. 2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Claim 49 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al US Patent 5,631,328 in view of Kliment et al US Patent 4,729,914.

Wang et al discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Wang et al does not disclose a chlorinated solvent.

Kliment et al teaches a copolymer having a solvent and the solvent is chlorinate for the purpose of obtaining an organic liquid which is relatively easy to evaporate at room or slightly elevated temperatures (see col. 4, lines 4-14).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Wang et al reference with the Kliment reference in order to obtain an organic liquid which is relatively easy to evaporate at room or slightly elevated temperatures.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin J. Stewart whose telephone number is 571-272-4760. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00AM-5:30PM(1 Friday B-week off).

Application/Control Number: 10/712,678 Page 5

Art Unit: 3774

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alvin J Stewart/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3774

May 08, 2008.